

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MEMORANDUM & ORDER
11-CV-2514 (JS) (GRB)

M/Y FORTUNA (O.N. 1084138), her
engines, tackle, equipment, and
appurtenances, in rem, and NEIL
GOODMAN, in personam,

Defendants.

-----X
APPEARANCES

For Plaintiff: Daniel H. Wooster, Esq.
Palmer, Biezup & Henderson LLP
190 N. Independence Mall W., Suite 401
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Richard Q. Whelan, Esq.
Palmer, Biezup & Henderson LLP
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005

For Defendants: No appearances.

SEYBERT, District Judge:

Presently before the Court is Magistrate Judge Gary R. Brown's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), issued on February 12, 2013. For the following reasons, the Court ADOPTS this R&R in its entirety.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Plaintiff") commenced this action on May 25, 2011 against the vessel M/Y Fortuna (O.N. 1084138), her engines, tackle, equipment, and

appurtenances (the "Vessel") in rem and against Neil Goodman in personam asserting claims pursuant to the Maritime Commercial Instruments and Liens Act, 46 U.S.C. §§ 31325(b)-(c). On June 27, 2011, this Court issued a warrant to arrest the Vessel. (Docket Entry 6.) On July 7, 2011, the United States Marshal Service seized the Vessel and transferred it into the custody of Lab Marine, Inc. for storage. (Docket Entries 10-11.) Plaintiff published a notice of vessel arrest on September 7, 2011 and served process on Defendant Goodman on October 18, 2011. (Docket Entries 8-9.) Neither Defendant Goodman nor any other potential claimant answered or otherwise appeared in this action.

On January 31, 2012, Plaintiff moved for an entry of default, which was entered by the Clerk of the Court that same day. (Docket Entries 12-13.) On April 13, 2012, Plaintiff moved for default judgment, and on April 20, 2012, the Court referred Plaintiff's motion to Judge Brown for an R&R. (Docket Entries 14-15.)

Judge Brown issued his R&R on February 12, 2013 recommending that: (1) Plaintiff's motion for default judgment be granted; (2) judgment be entered in the amount of \$68,395.72 against the Vessel; and (3) the Court order the sale of the Vessel, with any proceeds from the sale exceeding the amount of the judgment to be paid to the Court.

No party has objected to any portion of Judge Brown's R&R.

DISCUSSION

In reviewing an R&R, a district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings and recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). If no timely objections have been made, the "court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." Urena v. New York, 160 F. Supp. 2d 606, 609-10 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

Here, no party has objected to Judge Brown's R&R, and the Court finds it to be correct, comprehensive, well-reasoned and free of any clear error. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge Brown's R&R in its entirety.

CONCLUSION

Judge Brown's R&R is ADOPTED in its entirety, and Plaintiff's motion for a default judgment is GRANTED.

It is hereby ORDERED that:

- (1) judgment be entered in the amount of \$68,395.72 against the Vessel;
- (2) the Vessel be sold in accordance with 46 U.S.C. § 31326; and

(3) any proceeds from the sale exceeding the amount of the judgment be paid to the Court.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter a judgment consistent with this Memorandum and Order and to mark this matter CLOSED.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ JOANNA SEYBERT
Joanna Seybert, U.S.D.J.

Dated: March 19, 2013
Central Islip, NY